

THE ROYAL

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS ŏ

**MATHEMATICAL,<br>PHYSICAL<br>& ENGINEERING<br>SCIENCES** 

THE ROYAL

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS ŏ

## **Quality of Service by flow**−**aware networking**

THE ROYAL<br>SOCIETY

J. W. Roberts and S. Oueslati Boulahia

doi: 10.1098/rsta.2000.0641 Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A 2000 **358**, 2197-2207

### **Email alerting service**

 $OF -$ 

the article or click **[here](http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/cgi/alerts/ctalert?alertType=citedby&addAlert=cited_by&saveAlert=no&cited_by_criteria_resid=roypta;358/1773/2197&return_type=article&return_url=http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/358/1773/2197.full.pdf)** article - sign up in the box at the top right-hand corner of Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this

**MATHEMATIC PHYSICAL** 

**& ENGINEERI SCIENCES** 

**<http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/subscriptions>** To subscribe to Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A go to:

# **THE ROYAL**

# Quality of Service by flow-aware networking ty of Service by flow-aware network<br>By J. W. Roberts and S. Oueslati-Boulahia

*France Telecom-CNET, 38-40 rue du Général Leclerc,*<br>*France Telecom-CNET, 38-40 rue du Général Leclerc,*<br>*92794 Issu-les-Moulineaux Cedex 9. France France Telecom-CNET, 38-40 rue du Général Leclerc,* (james.roberts@cnet.francetelecom.fr; sara.boulahia@cnet.francetelecom.fr)

 $T$ he paper addresses the issue of providing Quality of Service  $(QoS)$  guarantees in the Internet. After a brief discussion of Internet traffic characteristics, we consider The paper addresses the issue of providing Quality of Service (QoS) guarantees in the Internet. After a brief discussion of Internet traffic characteristics, we consider the possibility of performing multiplexing with pre The paper addresses the issue of providing Quality of Service (QoS) guarantees in<br>the Internet. After a brief discussion of Internet traffic characteristics, we consider<br>the possibility of performing multiplexing with pred the Internet. After a brief discussion of Internet traffic characteristics, we consider<br>the possibility of performing multiplexing with predictable performance for stream<br>and elastic traffic using open-loop and closed-loop the possibility of performing multiplexing with predictable performance for stream<br>and elastic traffic using open-loop and closed-loop control, respectively. QoS depends<br>essentially on providing sufficient capacity to hand and elastic traffic using open-loop and closed-loop control, respectively. QoS depends<br>essentially on providing sufficient capacity to handle expected demand. We argue that<br>flow awareness is additionally necessary to ensur essentially on providing sufficient capacity to handle expected demand. We argue that<br>flow awareness is additionally necessary to ensure that traffic is directed over routes<br>with available capacity and to avoid congestion flow awareness is additionally necessary to ensure that traffic is directed over routes<br>with available capacity and to avoid congestion collapse in case of overload. Proposed<br>flow-aware controls allow simple volume-based c with available capacity and to avoid congestion collapse in carrier flow-aware controls allow simple volume-based charging an economic model similar to that of the telephone network.

del similar to that of the telephone network.<br>Keywords: Internet Quality of Service; traffic characteristics;<br>service differentiation: admission control: adantive routing Expredix Service; traffic characteristics;<br>service differentiation; admission control; adaptive routing

### 1. Introduction

1. Introduction<br>The issue of providing Quality of Service  $(QoS)$  guarantees in the Internet has far-<br>reaching implications which go well beyond the definition of protocols and traffic The issue of providing Quality of Service (QoS) guarantees in the Internet has far-<br>reaching implications which go well beyond the definition of protocols and traffic<br>control mechanisms. OoS is closely related to pricing a The issue of providing Quality of Service (QoS) guarantees in the Internet has far-<br>reaching implications which go well beyond the definition of protocols and traffic<br>control mechanisms. QoS is closely related to pricing a reaching implications which go well beyond the definition of protocols and traffic<br>control mechanisms. QoS is closely related to pricing and, through that, to the under-<br>lying economic model on which the network as a busin control mechanisms. QoS is closely related to pricing and, through that, to the under-<br>lying economic model on which the network as a business is based. In advocating<br>flow-aware networking, we are inspired to a large exten if lying economic model on which the network as a business is based. In advocating flow-aware networking, we are inspired to a large extent by the highly successful  $\frac{1}{8}$  model on which the public telephone network is

QoS in the telephone network is ensured by overprovisioning. Demand at histormodel on which the public telephone network is based.<br>QoS in the telephone network is ensured by overprovisioning. Demand at histor-<br>ically fixed price levels is estimated based on past records and capacity is provided<br>to QoS in the telephone network is ensured by overprovisioning. Demand at historically fixed price levels is estimated based on past records and capacity is provided to handle that demand with a very low probability that a ne ically fixed price levels is estimated based on past records and capacity is provided<br>to handle that demand with a very low probability that a new call must be blocked.<br>Adaptive routing algorithms and call admission contro No handle that demand with a very low probability that a new call must be blocked. Adaptive routing algorithms and call admission controls are employed to maximize <br>► network efficiency and provide protection against the Optimally, in a competitive environment, flat rate and per call charges are set such network efficiency and provide protection against the effects of overloads and failures.<br>Optimally, in a competitive environment, flat rate and per call charges are set such<br>that overall revenue covers the cost of network Optimally, in a competitive environment, flat rate and per call charges are set such that overall revenue covers the cost of network provision and operation. The network provider has the necessary economic incentive to exp that overall revenue covers the cost<br>provider has the necessary econom<br>to ensure that QoS is preserved.<br>The Internet community tends t Fortunder has the necessary economic incentive to expand capacity as demand grows<br>to ensure that QoS is preserved.<br>The Internet community tends to eschew the telephone model for a variety of rea-

to ensure that QoS is preserved.<br>The Internet community tends to eschew the telephone model for a variety of reasons. It is considered, notably, that Internet traffic, which is generated by a wide<br>variety of applications e The Internet community tends to eschew the telephone model for a variety of reasons. It is considered, notably, that Internet traffic, which is generated by a wide variety of applications each with its own characteristics, sons. It is considered, notably, that Internet traffic, which is generated by a wide<br>variety of applications each with its own characteristics, is much less predictable<br>than telephone traffic. Moreover, different applicati variety of applications each with its own characteristics, is much less predictable than telephone traffic. Moreover, different applications typically have quite different QoS requirements, necessitating the definition of than telephone traffic. Moreover, different applications typically have quite different QoS requirements, necessitating the definition of distinct service classes. After experimenting with the *IntServ* model (White & Crow ent QoS requirements, necessitating the definition of distinct service classes. After experimenting with the  $IntServ$  model (White & Crowcroft 1997), based on explicit resource reservation for individually signalled transact resource reservation for individually signalled transactions, the current consensus is<br>*Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000) 358, 2197-2207 (2000) The Royal Society

2197

HH

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS

ROYAL **THE PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS

**ATHEMATICAL** 

<sup>2198</sup> *J. W.RobertsandS.Oueslati-Boulahia* Downloaded from rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org

THE ROYAL<br>SOCIETY

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS Ğ

2198 J. W. Roberts and S. Oueslati-Boulahia<br>that QoS in the core of the network must be assured more simply by applying con-<br>trols at the level of broadly defined aggregates of packets. The DiffServ model (Blake that QoS in the core of the network must be assured more simply by applying con-<br>trols at the level of broadly defined aggregates of packets. The *DiffServ* model (Blake<br>*et al.* 1998) allows users to identify their packet that QoS in the core of the network must be assured more simply by applying controls at the level of broadly defined aggregates of packets. The *DiffServ* model (Blake *et al.* 1998) allows users to identify their packets trols at the level of broadly defined aggregates of packets. The *DiffServ* model (Blake *et al.* 1998) allows users to identify their packets as belonging to one of a certain number of classes, each class being handled di

There remains considerable uncertainty about how a network provider can apply number of classes, each class being handled differently in the network nodes.<br>There remains considerable uncertainty about how a network provider can apply<br>the IntServ and DiffServ service models to meet user QoS requireme There remains considerable uncertainty about how a network provider can apply<br>the IntServ and DiffServ service models to meet user QoS requirements and how it<br>can price the different service classes to generate sufficient the IntServ and DiffServ service models to meet user QoS requirements and how it<br>can price the different service classes to generate sufficient revenue to cover costs.<br>Consideration of the statistical relationship between can price the different service classes to generate sufficient revenue to cover costs.<br>Consideration of the statistical relationship between demand, capacity and perfor-<br>mance leads us, indeed, to doubt that there is a sat Consideration of the statistical relationship between demand, capacity and perfor-<br>mance leads us, indeed, to doubt that there is a satisfactory answer to the above<br>questions. We argue in the present paper that it is neces mance leads us, indeed, to doubt that there is a satisfactory answer to the above<br>questions. We argue in the present paper that it is necessary rather to define a new<br>service model allowing traffic controls to be applied a questions. We argue in the present paper that it is necessary rather to define a new<br>service model allowing traffic controls to be applied at flow level, where, by flow, we<br>mean the succession of packets relating to a give service model allowing traffic controls to be appli<br>mean the succession of packets relating to a giver<br>as a voice signal or the transfer of a Web page. % as a voice signal or the transfer of a Web page.<br>  ${\bf 2.}~~{\bf The~ nature~ of~ Internet~ traffic}$ 

To be able to make QoS guarantees depends on a sound understanding of the statistical nature of network traffic. In this section we discuss traffic characterization at To be able to make QoS guarantees depends on a sound understanding of the statistical nature of network traffic. In this section we discuss traffic characterization at packet, flow and aggregate levels and suggest that Int tical nature of network traffic. In the packet, flow and aggregate levels and as a stationary stochastic process. (*a*) *Self-similarity at packet level*

It is now well known that the arrival process of IP packets is extremely irregular with intensity variations occurring at multiple time-scales. Data traffic is asymptot-It is now well known that the arrival process of IP packets is extremely irregular<br>with intensity variations occurring at multiple time-scales. Data traffic is asymptot-<br>ically self-similar and even exhibits multi-fractal with intensity variations occurring at multiple time-scales. Data traffic is asymptot-<br>ically self-similar and even exhibits multi-fractal behaviour at very small time-scales<br>(Feldmann *et al.* 1999). For such traffic it p ically self-similar and even exhibits multi-fractal behaviour at very small time-scales<br>(Feldmann *et al.* 1999). For such traffic it proves extremely difficult to define a par-<br>simonious characterization capable of captur (Feldmann *et al.* 1999). For such traffic it proves extremely difficult to define a par-<br>simonious characterization capable of capturing its impact on network performance.<br>It is clear, in particular, that parameters curr simonious characterization capable of capturing its impact on network performance.<br>It is clear, in particular, that parameters currently used to define the traffic offered<br>to a wide area network by individual customers, na It is clear, in particular, that parameters currently used to define the traffic offered to a wide area network by individual customers, namely the parameters of a 'token bucket', are woefully inadequate.<sup>†</sup>

The main cause of self-similarity in IP traffic is extreme variability in the size of bucket', are woefully inadequate.<sup>†</sup><br>The main cause of self-similarity in IP traffic is extreme variability in the size of<br>the documents transferred. In particular, the size of Web documents is known to<br>have a distributio The main cause of self-similarity in IP traffic is extreme variability in the size of<br>the documents transferred. In particular, the size of Web documents is known to<br>have a distribution with an infinite variance (Crovella the documents transferred. In particular, the size of Web documents is known<br>have a distribution with an infinite variance (Crovella & Bestavros 1996). It prov<br>more natural to describe Internet traffic in terms of 'flows' more natural to describe Internet traffic in terms of 'flows' rather than packets.<br>(*b*)  $Flow\text{-}level\ characterization$ 

Flows may be broadly divided into two categories (Roberts 1999): stream flows, generally corresponding to audio and video applications, having an intrinsic rate Flows may be broadly divided into two categories (Roberts 1999): stream flows, generally corresponding to audio and video applications, having an intrinsic rate which must be preserved by the network; and elastic flows, co generally corresponding to audio and video applications, having an intrinsic rate<br>which must be preserved by the network; and elastic flows, corresponding to the<br>transfer of digital documents, whose rate adapts to availabl which must be preserved by the network; and elastic flows, corresponding to the transfer of digital documents, whose rate adapts to available capacity. A stream flow is characterized by its duration and how its rate varies transfer of digital documents, whose rate adapts to available capacity. A stream<br>flow is characterized by its duration and how its rate varies. An elastic flow can be<br>characterized more simply through the size of the docum flow is characterized by its duration and how its rate varies. An elastic flow can be applications.

plications.<br>† Traffic conforms to a token bucket of parameters r bit s<sup>-1</sup> and b bit if the volume of bits  $A(s, t)$ <br>itted in an interval (s t) satisfies  $A(s, t) \le r(t - s) + b$ <sup>†</sup> Traffic conforms to a token bucket of parameters *r* bit is emitted in an interval  $(s, t)$ , satisfies  $A(s, t) \le r(t - s) + b$ . emitted in an interval  $(s, t)$ , satisfies  $A(s, t) \le r(t - s) + b$ .<br>*Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

*Quality ofServiceby°ow-awarenetworking* <sup>2199</sup> Downloaded from rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org

To complete the traffic description it is necessary to specify how flows arrive. The To complete the traffic description it is necessary to specify how flows arrive. The well-established practice of modelling telephone traffic in the busiest period as a stationary Poisson arrival process of calls of indepe To complete the traffic description it is necessary to specify how flows arrive. The well-established practice of modelling telephone traffic in the busiest period as a stationary Poisson arrival process of calls of indepe well-established practice of modelling telephone traffic in the busiest period as a<br>stationary Poisson arrival process of calls of independent duration can be carried<br>over to some forms of stream traffic. In describing the stationary Poisson arrival process of calls of independent duration can be carried<br>over to some forms of stream traffic. In describing the arrival process of elastic flows,<br>it may be necessary to pay more attention to the

over to some forms of stream traffic. In describing the arrival process of elastic flows,<br>it may be necessary to pay more attention to the way in which individual users<br>behave in a Web session, for instance. However, there it may be necessary to pay more attention to the way in which individual users<br>behave in a Web session, for instance. However, there is some empirical evidence<br>to support the hypothesis that elastic flow arrivals in a bac behave in a Web session, for instance. However, the<br>to support the hypothesis that elastic flow arrivals in<br>assimilated to a Poisson process (Nabe *et al.* 1998). assimilated to a Poisson process (Nabe *et al.* 1998).<br>(*c*) *Traffic demand* 

Traces depicting traffic intensity on backbone links typically reveal quite pre-Traces depicting traffic intensity on backbone links typically reveal quite predictable behaviour (Thomson *et al.* 1997). Intensity in working days consistently attains the same level and remains roughly constant over an Traces depicting traffic intensity on backbone links typically reveal quite predictable behaviour (Thomson *et al.* 1997). Intensity in working days consistently attains the same level and remains roughly constant over an dictable behaviour (Thomson *et al.* 1997). Intensity in working days consistently attains the same level and remains roughly constant over an afternoon busy period lasting several hours, which suggests the possibility of attains the same level and remains roughly constant over an afternoon busy period<br>lasting several hours, which suggests the possibility of modelling traffic as a sta-<br>tionary stochastic process. The average rate attained m lasting several hours, which suggests the possibility of modelling traffic as a stationary stochastic process. The average rate attained may then be interpreted as an expression of demand given by the product of the flow a tionary stochastic process. The average rate attained may then be interpreted as an expression of demand given by the product of the flow arrival rate and the average volume in bits of each flow (size of elastic flows, dur flows). lume in bits of each flow (size of elastic flows, duration times average rate of stream<br>ws).<br>Network performance depends essentially on whether demand is greater than or<br>s than available capacity. OoS clearly depends both

flows).<br>Network performance depends essentially on whether demand is greater than or<br>less than available capacity. QoS clearly depends both on the capacity being in<br>place and on the traffic being able to access that capaci less than available capacity. QoS clearly depends both on the capacity being in place and on the traffic being able to access that capacity by appropriate routing. less than available capacity. QoS clearly depends both on the capacity being in<br>place and on the traffic being able to access that capacity by appropriate routing.<br>Additional controls are necessary to preserve performance place and on the traffic being able to access that capacity by appropriate routing.<br>Additional controls are necessary to preserve performance in case of overload. Though<br>we argue in the following that routing and overload we argue in the following that routing and overload controls should be performed at flow level, currently proposed evolutions to the Internet service model aim rather to perform traffic management on the basis of traffic aggregates.

### (*d*) *Characterizing traffic aggregates*

Through aggregation, QoS requirements are satisfied in a two-step process: the net-Through aggregation, QoS requirements are satisfied in a two-step process: the net-<br>work guarantees that an aggregate has access to a given bandwidth; this bandwidth<br>is then shared by the flows constituting the aggregate

Through aggregation, QoS requirements are satisfied in a two-step process: the net-<br>work guarantees that an aggregate has access to a given bandwidth; this bandwidth<br>is then shared by the flows constituting the aggregate u work guarantees that an aggregate has access to a given bandwidth; this bandwidth<br>is then shared by the flows constituting the aggregate using mechanisms capable<br>of meeting their individual QoS requirements. The situation is then shared by the flows constituting the aggregate using mechanisms capable<br>of meeting their individual QoS requirements. The situation would be clear if the<br>guarantee provided by the network were for a fixed constant of meeting their individual QoS requirements. The situation would be clear if the<br>guarantee provided by the network were for a fixed constant bandwidth. In practice,<br>because traffic in an aggregation is generally extremely guarantee provided by the network were for a fixed constant bandwidth. In practice, because traffic in an aggregation is generally extremely variable, a constant rate is not usually a good match to user requirements. In fr because traffic in an aggregation is generally extremely variable, a constant rate is not<br>usually a good match to user requirements. In frame relay and ATM networks, cur-<br>rent practice is to considerably overbook capacity usually a good match to user requirements. In frame relay and ATM networks, current practice is to considerably overbook capacity (the sum of guaranteed rates may be several times greater than available capacity), counting rent practice is to considerably overbook capacity (the sum of guaranteed rates may<br>be several times greater than available capacity), counting on the fact that users<br>do not all require their guaranteed bandwidth at the sa be several times greater than available capacity), counting on the fact that users<br>do not all require their guaranteed bandwidth at the same time. In addition, the<br>aggregate traffic is generally allowed to exceed the nomin do not all require their guaranteed bandwidth at the same time. In addition, the aggregate traffic is generally allowed to exceed the nominally guaranteed bandwidth.<br>Excess packets are marked and considered to be expendabl gregate traffic is generally allowed to exceed the nominally guaranteed bandwidth.<br>
incess packets are marked and considered to be expendable in case of congestion.<br>
Undeniably, the combination of overbooking and admitting

Excess packets are marked and considered to be expendable in case of congestion.<br>
Undeniably, the combination of overbooking and admitting excess traffic leads to<br>
a commercial offer that is attractive to many customers, e Undeniably, the combination of overbooking and admitting excess traffic leads to<br>a commercial offer that is attractive to many customers, especially in comparison<br>with the cost of a leased line of equivalent 'guaranteed' c a commercial offer that is attractive to many customers, especially in comparison<br>with the cost of a leased line of equivalent 'guaranteed' capacity. It does, however,<br>lead to an imprecision in the nature of the offered se with the cost of a leased line of equivalent 'guaranteed' capacity. It does, however, lead to an imprecision in the nature of the offered service and in the basis of charging which we believe will prove unacceptable as the maturity.

*Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

**IATHEMATICAL,<br>HYSICAL<br>< ENGINEERING<br>CIENCES** 

H

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS

**INEERING**<br>IES **ATHEMATICAL** 

<sup>2200</sup> *J. W.RobertsandS.Oueslati-Boulahia* V. Roberts and S. Oueslati-Boulahia<br>**3. Predicting performance** 

3. Predicting performance<br>The feasibility of QoS guarantees depends on being able to predict the performance<br>of implemented traffic controls. We distinguish open-loop control suitable for stream The feasibility of QoS guarantees depends on being able to predict the performance<br>of implemented traffic controls. We distinguish open-loop control suitable for stream<br>traffic and closed-loop control suitable for elastic of implemented traffic controls. We distinguish open-loop control suitable for stream traffic and closed-loop control suitable for elastic flows.

### (*a*) *Open-loop control*

Strict delay bounds can be assured for a flow (or flow aggregate) which is controlled Strict delay bounds can be assured for a flow (or flow aggregate) which is controlled<br>at the network input by a token bucket filter (Cruz 1991). The usefulness of such<br>bounds is somewhat limited in practice for many reaso Strict delay bounds can be assured for a flow (or flow aggregate) which is controlled<br>at the network input by a token bucket filter (Cruz 1991). The usefulness of such<br>bounds is somewhat limited in practice for many reason at the network input by a token bucket filter (Cruz 1991). The usefulness of such<br>bounds is somewhat limited in practice for many reasons: the token bucket is not<br>a useful traffic descriptor; delay bounds are only attained bounds is somewhat limited in practice for many reasons: the token bucket is not<br>a useful traffic descriptor; delay bounds are only attained in unrealistic 'worst-case'<br>scenarios; most applications do not require absolute a useful traffic descriptor; delay bounds are only attained in unrealistic 'worst-case'<br>scenarios; most applications do not require absolute delay guarantees. In addition,<br>to realize the bounds requires complex scheduling. scenarios; most applications do not require absolute delay guarantees. In addition,<br>to realize the bounds requires complex scheduling. We believe it is preferable to<br>perform controlled statistical multiplexing, allowing de to realize the bound<br>perform controlled s<br>a high probability.<br>Consider an isolat rform controlled statistical multiplexing, allowing delays to be 'guaranteed' with<br>high probability.<br>Consider an isolated link and assume for the sake of simplicity that packet flows<br>n be assimilated to fluids with clearly

a high probability.<br>Consider an isolated link and assume for the sake of simplicity that packet flows<br>can be assimilated to fluids with clearly defined instantaneous rates. We can then distinguish statistical multiplexing schemes according to whether or not they rely on can be assimilated to fluids with clearly defined instantaneous rates. We can then<br>distinguish statistical multiplexing schemes according to whether or not they rely on<br>a buffer to absorb momentary input rate overloads. Wh distinguish statistical multiplexing schemes according to whether or not they rely on<br>a buffer to absorb momentary input rate overloads. When buffering is used, it proves<br>very difficult to predict performance without knowi a buffer to absorb momentary input rate overloads. When buffering is used, it proves<br>very difficult to predict performance without knowing very precise details concerning<br>the way the input rate varies over time (Roberts *e* very difficult to predict performance without knowing the way the input rate varies over time (Roberts *et al* more easily predictable with bufferless multiplexing.<br>In the absence of buffering, data loss must be limit In the absence of buffering, data loss multiplexing.<br>In the absence of buffering, data loss must be limited by ensuring a sufficiently<br>a probability that the input rate exceeds the link rate. This probability depends

more easily predictable with bufferless multiplexing.<br>In the absence of buffering, data loss must be limited by ensuring a sufficiently<br>low probability that the input rate exceeds the link rate. This probability depends<br>on In the absence of buffering, data loss must be limited by ensuring a sufficiently<br>low probability that the input rate exceeds the link rate. This probability depends<br>only on the stationary distribution of the individual fl low probability that the input rate exceeds the link rate. This probability depends<br>only on the stationary distribution of the individual flow rates and is consequently<br>insensitive to correlation in the rate process. Buff only on the stationary distribution of the individual flow rates and is consequently insensitive to correlation in the rate process. Bufferless multiplexing is compatible with reasonably high utilization (60%, say) if the insensitive to correlation in the rate proces<br>with reasonably high utilization  $(60\% ,$  say).<br>small (no more than  $1\%$  of link rate, say). small (no more than 1% of link rate, say).<br>(*b*) *Closed-loop control* 

Elastic traffic is, by definition, suited to the use of closed-loop control whereby the Elastic traffic is, by definition, suited to the use of closed-loop control whereby the rate of flows is adjusted to make maximal use of available bandwidth. In the interests of developing insight into the performance of of developing insight into the performance of closed-loop control, we discuss below a rate of flows is adjusted to make maximal use of available bandwidth. In the inter<br>of developing insight into the performance of closed-loop control, we discuss belo<br>simple performance model assuming that bandwidth is sha

Consider a single bottleneck link of capacity  $C$  dedicated to handling elastic flows. We assume flows arrive according to a Poisson process of rate  $\lambda$  and that when n flows Consider a single bottleneck link of capacity C dedicated to handling elastic flows.<br>We assume flows arrive according to a Poisson process of rate  $\lambda$  and that when *n* flows<br>are in progress each is served at rate  $C/n$ . We assume flows arrive according to a Poisson process of rate  $\lambda$  and that when *n* flows<br>are in progress each is served at rate  $C/n$ . Flow sizes are assumed to be independently<br>drawn from a general distribution of mean are in progress each is served at rate  $C/n$ . Flow sizes are assumed to be independently<br>drawn from a general distribution of mean  $\theta$ . With these assumptions the considered<br>system can be recognized as an  $M/G/1$  processor G drawn from a general distribution of mean  $\theta$ . With these assumptions the considered<br>  $\bigcirc$  system can be recognized as an M/G/1 processor sharing queue (Kleinrock 1976). Let<br>  $\bigcirc$   $\rho = \lambda \theta/C$  be the link utilization a system can be recognized as an  $M/G/1$  processor sharing queue (Kleinrock 1976). Let  $\rho = \lambda \theta / C$  be the link utilization and assume  $\rho < 1$ . It is well known that the number of flows in progress then has a geometric distri  $\rho = \lambda \theta / C$  be the link utilization and assume  $\rho < 1$ . It is well known that the number<br>of flows in progress then has a geometric distribution,  $\Pr[n \text{ flows}] = \rho^n(1-\rho)$ , and<br>that the expected response time of a flow of size p flows in progress then has a geometric distribution,  $\Pr[n \text{ flows}] = \rho^n(1-\rho)$ , and<br>at the expected response time of a flow of size p is E[response time] =  $p/[C(1-\rho)]$ .<br>These results demonstrate that the performance of a link sh

that the expected response time of a flow of size p is E[response time] =  $p/[C(1-\rho)]$ .<br>These results demonstrate that the performance of a link shared using closed-<br>loop control is satisfactory even though the packet arriv These results demonstrate that the performance of a link shared using closed-<br>loop control is satisfactory even though the packet arrival process is self-similar (due<br>to an infinite variance flow size distribution). Clear loop control is satisfactory even though the packet arrival process is self-similar (due<br>to an infinite variance flow size distribution). Clearly, however, if the offered load<br> $\rho$  is greater than one, the considered mode  $\rho$  is greater than one, the considered model is unstable: the number of flows in *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

*ISICAL*<br>NGINEERING *<u>ITHEMATICAL</u>* 

HL

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS

**HYSICAL**<br>{ ENGINEERING<br>{ ENGINEERING MATHEMATICAL

THE ROYAI

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS p

Ξ

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS

*Quality ofServiceby°ow-awarenetworking* <sup>2201</sup>







**MATHEMATICAL,<br>PHYSICAL<br>& ENGINEERING<br>SCIENCES** Figure 2. Expected normalized response time,  $\rho = 0.9, 1.0, 1.1$ .<br>progress increases indefinitely as more and more new flows arrive while the through-<br>put achieved by any one flow tends to zero progress increases indefinitely as more and more achieved by any one flow tends to zero.<br>Admission control is a means to preserve use

put achieved by any one flow tends to zero.<br>Admission control is a means to preserve useful throughput in the event of overload (Massoulié  $&$  Roberts 1999). The objective here is not so much to preserve a minimum Admission control is a means to preserve useful throughput in the event of overload (Massoulié & Roberts 1999). The objective here is not so much to preserve a minimum acceptable throughput for admitted flows (a few tens (Massoulié & Roberts 1999). The objective here is not so much to preserve a minimum<br>acceptable throughput for admitted flows (a few tens of kilobits per second, say) as<br>to preserve network efficiency in overload. Suppose to preserve network efficiency in overload. Suppose new flows are blocked when the number sharing the isolated link considered above attains  $N$ . The probability of to preserve network efficiency in overload. Su<br>number sharing the isolated link considered<br>blocking is then  $B = (1 - \rho)\rho^N/(1 - \rho^{N+1})$ .<br>Figure 1 shows how B depends on N In ).

Figure 1 shows how  $B$  depends on  $N$ . In underload, the blocking probability is negligible as soon as  $N$  is greater than 50. In overload, on the other hand,  $B$  tends Figure 1 shows how *B* depends on *N*. In underload, the blocking probability is negligible as soon as *N* is greater than 50. In overload, on the other hand, *B* tends rapidly to the fluid limit  $(\rho - 1)/\rho$  and is indepen negligible as soon as N is greater than 50. In overload, on the other hand, B tends<br>rapidly to the fluid limit  $(\rho - 1)/\rho$  and is independent of N. Define the expected<br>normalized response time (ENRT) as the expected respon rapidly to the fluid limit  $(\rho - 1)/\rho$  and is independent of N. Define the expected<br>normalized response time (ENRT) as the expected response time of a document of<br>size p divided by p and multiplied by the link rate C. This normalized response time (ENRT) as the expected response time of a document of size  $p$  divided by  $p$  and multiplied by the link rate  $C$ . This measures the response time in multiples of the time it would take to transfe size p divided by p and multiplied by the link rate C. This measures the response<br>time in multiples of the time it would take to transfer the document if it had exclusive<br>use of the link. Figure 2 plots this quantity as a time in multiples of the time it would take to transfer the document if it had exclusive<br>use of the link. Figure 2 plots this quantity as a function of  $N$ . There is again a clear<br>distinction between performance in underl use of the link. Figure 2 plots this quantity as a function of  $N$ . There is again a clear distinction between performance in underload (response time remains very small) and overload (response time increases with  $N$ ). T and overload (response time increases with  $N$ ). To limit response time in overload *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

<sup>2202</sup> *J. W.RobertsandS.Oueslati-Boulahia*



THE ROYAL

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS

2202  $J.$  W. Roberts and S. Oueslati-Boulahia<br>while avoiding unnecessary blocking in underload, a reasonable choice for N would<br>be 100, although any value between 50 and 200 would also be acceptable. while avoiding unnecessary blocking in underload, a reasonable choice for  $\dot{I}$  be 100, although any value between 50 and 200 would also be acceptable. be 100, although any value between 50 and 200 would also be acceptable.<br>4. Service differentiation

It is clear that stream and elastic flows have distinct QoS requirements. It is also true that the same responsiveness is not required for all elastic flows (Web consultation, file transfers, email, etc.). In this section we discuss possible means of realizing service differentiation.

### (*a*) *Discriminating between stream and elastic traffic*

There are considerable advantages in allowing stream and elastic flows to share the same links. Giving priority to the service of stream flow packets ensures maximal There are considerable advantages in allowing stream and elastic flows to share<br>the same links. Giving priority to the service of stream flow packets ensures maximal<br>responsiveness for the underlying audio and video applic the same links. Giving priority to the service of stream flow packets ensures maximal<br>responsiveness for the underlying audio and video applications without penalizing<br>the throughput of elastic flows. The stream flows woul responsiveness for the underlying audio and video applications without penalizing<br>the throughput of elastic flows. The stream flows would be admitted in the condi-<br>tions of bufferless multiplexing at a rather low load (ass the throughput of elastic flows. The stream flows would be admitted in the condi-<br>tions of bufferless multiplexing at a rather low load (assuming the majority of traffic<br>is elastic), facilitating measurement-based admissio tions of bufferless multiplexing at a rather low load (assuming the majority of traffic<br>is elastic), facilitating measurement-based admission control. The loss rate of stream<br> $\frac{1}{0}$  flows would be very low and there is is elastic), facilitating measurement-based admission control. The loss rate of stream<br>flows would be very low and there is no obvious advantage to be gained by differen-<br>tiating service with respect to this criterion. Ela flows would be very low and there is no obvious advantage to be gained by differentiating service with respect to this criterion. Elastic flows would naturally exploit all the bandwidth left by the stream traffic, gaining tiating service with respect to this criterion. Elastic flows would natute bandwidth left by the stream traffic, gaining in average throug with a system of equivalent capacity divided into dedicated parts. with a system of equivalent capacity divided into dedicated parts.<br>(*b*) *Impact of load on elastic flow throughput* 

One possibility for creating differentiated elastic flow classes is to dedicate bandwidth to each and to operate that bandwidth at different loads. Indeed, in the pro-One possibility for creating differentiated elastic flow classes is to dedicate band-<br>width to each and to operate that bandwidth at different loads. Indeed, in the pro-<br>cessor sharing model, expected throughput  $C(1 - \rho)$ width to each and to operate that bandwidth at different loads. Indeed, in the processor sharing model, expected throughput  $C(1 - \rho)$  depends linearly on  $\rho$  and it would appear easy to create different QoS classes. In p cessor sharing model, expected throughput  $C(1 - \rho)$  depends linearly on  $\rho$  and it would appear easy to create different QoS classes. In practice, however, flow rates are generally limited elsewhere in the network, notab would appear easy to create different QoS classes. In practice, however, flow rates<br>are generally limited elsewhere in the network, notably by the speed of access lines.<br>Figure 3 shows how the expected normalized response are generally limited elsewhere in the network, notably by the speed of access lines.<br>Figure 3 shows how the expected normalized response time depends on load when<br>the maximum flow rate r is equal to one-tenth of the link Figure 3 shows how the expected normalized response time depends on load when<br>the maximum flow rate r is equal to one-tenth of the link capacity. It is clear that<br>the load has little impact on response times until it gets the maximum flow rate r is equal to one-tenth of the link capacity. It is clear that<br>the load has little impact on response times until it gets close to  $1 - (r/C)$ . There is<br>thus very little room between very good quality w the load has little impact on response times until it gets close to  $1 - (r/C)$ . There is thus very little room between very good quality when  $\rho < 1 - (r/C)$ , and bad quality when  $\rho > 1$ . By adequate provisioning, it is relat thus very little room between very good quality when  $\rho < 1 - (r/C)$ <br>when  $\rho > 1$ . By adequate provisioning, it is relatively easy to offer<br>but virtually impossible to target any intermediate quality level. but virtually impossible to target any intermediate quality level.<br>(*c*) *Discriminatory bandwidth sharing* 

Another possibility for service differentiation is to deliberately share bandwidth Another possibility for service differentiation is to deliberately share bandwidth<br>unequally. We have used simulation to investigate weighted sharing assuming the<br>document size has a Pareto distribution. Figure 4 shows ho Another possibility for service differentiation is to deliberately share bandwidth<br>unequally. We have used simulation to investigate weighted sharing assuming the<br>document size has a Pareto distribution. Figure 4 shows how  $\bigcirc$  document size has a Pareto distribution. Figure 4 shows how the expected normal-<br> $\bigcirc$  ized response time depends on document size for two classes of flow sharing an document size has a Pareto distribution. Figure 4 shows how the expected normal-<br>ized response time depends on document size for two classes of flow sharing an<br>isolated bottleneck link of capacity 100 Mbit  $s^{-1}$ . Class 1 ized response time depends on document size for two classes of flow sharing an isolated bottleneck link of capacity 100 Mbit s<sup>-1</sup>. Class 1 flows receive twice as much bandwidth as flows of class 2 when they are not limit isolated bottleneck link of capacity 100 Mbit s<sup>-1</sup>. Class 1 flows receive twice as much bandwidth as flows of class 2 when they are not limited by the access rate. We show results for three access rates, expressed as a f results for three access rates, expressed as a fraction of the link rate,  $r = 0.02C$ ,  $r = 0.1C$  and  $r = C$ . Link utilization is 0.8.<br>To calculate the points in this figure, we first class the simulated documents in

increasing size order. We then define 10 contiguous size intervals such that each

**HYSICAL**<br>{ ENGINEERING<br>{ ENGINEERING MATHEMATICAL

THE ROYAI

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS

**MATHEMATICAL,<br>PHYSICAL**<br>& ENGINEERING

OYA

Ξ F

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS ŏ

p

*Quality ofServiceby°ow-awarenetworking* <sup>2203</sup>



Figure 4. ENRT with unequal bandwidth sharing,  $\rho = 0.8$ ,  $r = 0.02C$ , 0.1*C*, *C* Mbit s<sup>-1</sup>.<br>contains the same number of documents. The symbols give the ENRT computed as<br>an average over all documents in the corresponding contains the same number of documents. The symbols give the<br>an average over all documents in the corresponding interval.<br>Clearly the access rate  $r$  has a significant impact. For  $r$  equal ntains the same number of documents. The symbols give the ENRT computed as<br>average over all documents in the corresponding interval.<br>Clearly, the access rate r has a significant impact. For r equal to  $0.02C$ , discrimina-

an average over all documents in the corresponding interval.<br>Clearly, the access rate  $r$  has a significant impact. For  $r$  equal to 0.02C, discrimination is ineffective at the considered load. Discrimination is apparent Clearly, the access rate r has a significant impact. For r equal to  $0.02C$ , discrimination is ineffective at the considered load. Discrimination is apparent when the link is the only bottleneck  $(r = C)$ . Note, however, tha tion is ineffective at the considered load. Discrimination is apparent when the link is<br>the only bottleneck  $(r = C)$ . Note, however, that throughput is then excellent, even<br>for the underprivileged class. In overload, class the only bottleneck  $(r = C)$ . Note, however, that throughput is then excellent, even<br>for the underprivileged class. In overload, class 1 flows do always attain a throughput<br>twice that of class 2 flows, but throughput then t for the underprivileged class. In<br>twice that of class 2 flows, but t<br>absence of admission control. (*d*) *Differentiated blocking probabilities*<br>(*d*) *Differentiated blocking probabilities* 

The above results show that there is limited scope for service differentiation in underload since all flows then receive good QoS. In overload, admission control is

*Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

ROYAL

THE<br>SOC

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS  $\overline{5}$  necessary to preserve performance. It can also be used to perform effective differentiation.

**IATHEMATICAL,<br>HYSICAL<br>: ENGINEERING<br>CIENCES** tiation.<br>Consider a link of capacity C simultaneously used by elastic flows belonging to<br>two classes producing loads  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ , respectively. The classes differ through their<br>access priority. Flows of class *i* ar Consider a link of capacity  $C$  simultaneously used by elastic flows belonging to Consider a link of capacity C simultaneously used by elastic flows belonging to two classes producing loads  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ , respectively. The classes differ through their access priority. Flows of class *i* are blocked two classes producing loads  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ , respectively. The classes differ through their access priority. Flows of class *i* are blocked when the number of flows in progress of either class is greater than or equal t of either class is greater than or equal to  $N_i$ . We assume  $N_1 > N_2$  so that flows of class 1 receive priority service.

Numerical results confirm that effective differentiation is obtained for a wide range of  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ . The particular values  $N_1 = 100$  and  $N_2 = 50$  constitute a reason-Numerical results confirm that effective differentiation is obtained for a wide range<br>of  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ . The particular values  $N_1 = 100$  and  $N_2 = 50$  constitute a reason-<br>able choice. For  $\rho_1 + \rho_2 < 1$ , both classes s of  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ . The particular values  $N_1 = 100$  and  $N_2 = 50$  constitute a reason-<br>able choice. For  $\rho_1 + \rho_2 < 1$ , both classes see negligible blocking. When  $\rho_1 < 1$  and<br> $\rho_1 + \rho_2 > 1$ , class 1 flows are not block  $\rho_1 + \rho_2 > 1$ , class 1 flows are not blocked while the fluid limit  $(\rho_1 + \rho_2 - 1)/\rho_2$  applies to class 2. If  $\rho_1 > 1$ , class 1 sees blocking  $(\rho_1 - 1)/\rho_1$  while virtually all class 2 flows are blocked. to class 2. If  $\rho_1 > 1$ , class 1 sees blocking  $(\rho_1 - 1)/\rho_1$  while virtually all class 2 flows

### 5. Flow-aware networking

The above models demonstrate that QoS is generally excellent if capacity is over-The above models demonstrate that  $QoS$  is generally excellent if capacity is over-<br>provisioned, whether flows are identified as such or not. Flow awareness is necessary<br>to ensure that flows are routed over paths which are The above models demonstrate that QoS is generally excellent if capacity is over-<br>provisioned, whether flows are identified as such or not. Flow awareness is necessary<br>to ensure that flows are routed over paths which are i provisioned, whether flows are identified as such or not. Flow awareness is<br>to ensure that flows are routed over paths which are indeed overprovision<br>allow admission control when necessary to prevent congestion collapse. necessary to prevent conges<br>
(*a*) *Flow identification* 

(a) Flow identification<br>Admission control can be performed simply if it is possible to identify the start of a new flow. Rejecting the first packets of a new flow is generally sufficient signal to Admission control can be performed simply if it is possible to identify the start of<br>a new flow. Rejecting the first packets of a new flow is generally sufficient signal to<br>a source that the network is congested. In the pa a new flow. Rejecting the first packets of a new flow is generally sufficient signal to<br>a source that the network is congested. In the particular case where the majority of<br>flows correspond to a TCP connection, new flows c a source that the network is congested. In the particular case where the majority of<br>flows correspond to a TCP connection, new flows can be identified on recognizing the<br>SYN or SYN/ACK packets of the three-way set-up hands flows correspond to a TCP connection, new flows can be identified on recognizing the SYN or SYN/ACK packets of the three-way set-up handshake. This technique has already been successfully employed to considerably improve SYN or SYN/ACK packets of the three-way set-up handshake. This technique has already been successfully employed to considerably improve the effective throughput of a congested Internet access link (Kumar *et al.* 2000). Th already been successfully employed to considerably improve the effective throughput

perform flow-aware routing it is additionally necessary to ensure that all packets of a given flow follow the same path. This requires the creation of per-flow state This approach may be sufficient in a network with fixed routing. However, to perform flow-aware routing it is additionally necessary to ensure that all packets of a given flow follow the same path. This requires the creati perform flow-aware routing it is additionally necessary to ensure that all packets<br>of a given flow follow the same path. This requires the creation of per-flow state<br>explicitly identifying the flows in progress and indicat of a given flow follow the same path. This requires the creation of per-flow state explicitly identifying the flows in progress and indicating their route. Minimal flow state would include an identifier derived from the pa explicitly identifying the flast<br>state would include an ide<br>the last observed packet.<br>In an imagined implement

In an imagined implementation using multiprotocol label switching (Callon *et al.* 1999), flow state would be associated with the edge router incoming interface on which the flow arrives It would be stored in lists corresp In an imagined implementation using multiprotocol label switching (Callon *et al.* 1999), flow state would be associated with the edge router incoming interface on which the flow arrives. It would be stored in lists corre 1999), flow state would be associated with the edge router incoming interface on which the flow arrives. It would be stored in lists corresponding to the forwarding equivalence classes (FECs) of that router. Every packet h which the flow arrives. It would be stored in lists corresponding to the forwarding<br>equivalence classes (FECs) of that router. Every packet has a unique FEC (defined<br>principally by its destination address) associated, in o equivalence classes (FECs) of that router. Every packet has a unique FEC (defined<br>principally by its destination address) associated, in our implementation, with a set<br>of label-switched paths (LSPs). On the arrival of a pa principally by its destination address) associated, in our implementation, with a set<br>of label-switched paths (LSPs). On the arrival of a packet, in addition to the regular<br>address look-up required to identify the FECs, it of label-switched paths (LSPs). On the arrival of a packet, in addition to the regular address look-up required to identify the FECs, it is necessary to verify whether or not the packet belongs to an existing flow by compa address look-up required to identify the FECs, it is necessary to verify whether or<br>not the packet belongs to an existing flow by comparing its identifier with those of<br>the list. If so, the packet is routed over the LSPs i not the packet belongs to an existing flow by comparing its identifier with those of<br>the list. If so, the packet is routed over the LSPs indicated in the list and the last<br>packet epoch is updated. If not, it is necessary t the list. If so, the packet is routed over the LSPs indicated in the list and the last packet epoch is updated. If not, it is necessary to perform flow routing by choosing an appropriate LSP. If all available routes are co an appropriate LSP. If all available routes are congested the packet is discarded.<br>† We ignore possible complications due to IPSEC encryption.

**IATHEMATICAL,<br>HYSICAL<br>ENGINEERING**<br>CIENCES

ROYAL

THE

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS

**IYSICAL<br>ENGINEERING ATHEMATICAL** 

**DYA** 

Œ

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS

*Quality ofServiceby°ow-awarenetworking* <sup>2205</sup>

Quality of Service by flow-aware networking 2205<br>The congestion status of an LSP would be determined by its available bandwidth as<br>described next. The congestion st<br>described next.

### (*b*) *Measurement-based admission control*

To account for rate limitations affecting elastic flows outside the considered domain and to account for the variability of stream flow rates, it is necessary to estimate To account for rate limitations affecting elastic flows outside the considered domain<br>and to account for the variability of stream flow rates, it is necessary to estimate<br>available bandwidth by measurement. The idea is tha and to account for the variability of stream flow rates, it is necessary to estimate<br>available bandwidth by measurement. The idea is that the edge router continually<br>estimates the bandwidth that would be available to a new available bandwidth by measurement. The idea is that the edge router continually<br>estimates the bandwidth that would be available to a new elastic flow routed between<br>the endpoints of every path of which it is the origin. T estimates the bandwidth that would be available to a new elastic flow routed between<br>the endpoints of every path of which it is the origin. The precise mechanism by which<br>this estimation could be made is the subject of onthe endpoints of every path of which it is the origin. The precise mechanism by which<br>this estimation could be made is the subject of on-going research. One possibility is<br>to create a 'phantom' TCP connection between the This estimation could be made is the subject of on-going research. One possibility is<br>to create a 'phantom' TCP connection between the path endpoints, as proposed by<br> $\bigcup$  Afek *et al.* (1996), and simply measure its reali

A flow would be accepted or rejected on a given route according to whether the Afek *et al.* (1996), and simply measure its realized short-term throughput.<br>A flow would be accepted or rejected on a given route according to whether the available bandwidth were greater than or less than a certain thres A flow would be accepted or rejected on a given route according to whether the available bandwidth were greater than or less than a certain threshold. Applying the same threshold to stream and elastic flows ensures that th available bandwidth were greater than or less than a certain threshold. Applying<br>the same threshold to stream and elastic flows ensures that the maximum stream<br>peak rate is equal to the minimum elastic throughput. Based o the same threshold to stream and elastic flows ensures that the maximum stream<br>peak rate is equal to the minimum elastic throughput. Based on the discussion of<br> $\S 3$ , this threshold could be set to 1% of link capacity. Si peak rate is equal to the minimum elastic throughput. Based on the discussion of  $\S 3$ , this threshold could be set to  $1\%$  of link capacity. Since the objective is to avoid the negative effects of demand overload and no  $\S 3$ , this threshold could be set to 1% of link capacity. Since the objective is to avoid the negative effects of demand overload and not to ensure a contractual minimum rate for elastic flows, the estimation of availabl the negative effects of<br>rate for elastic flows, t<br>particularly accurate.

### (*c*) *Flow-aware routing*

With present Internet routing protocols, in the absence of topology changes, the With present Internet routing protocols, in the absence of topology changes, the path available to any given flow is fixed. The flow is routed over that path even if it is currently congested and a more lightly loaded alt With present Internet routing protocols, in the absence of topology changes, path available to any given flow is fixed. The flow is routed over that path even is currently congested and a more lightly loaded alternative ma th available to any given flow is fixed. The flow is routed over that path even if it<br>currently congested and a more lightly loaded alternative may be available.<br>The careful planning necessary to ensure that traffic offere

is currently congested and a more lightly loaded alternative may be available.<br>The careful planning necessary to ensure that traffic offered to all links is within<br>their capacity in a network with fixed routing is made pa The careful planning necessary to ensure that traffic offered to all links is within<br>their capacity in a network with fixed routing is made particularly difficult by the<br>uncertain characterization of aggregate traffic all their capacity in a network with fixed routing is made particularly difficult by the uncertain characterization of aggregate traffic alluded to in  $\S 2$ . Telephone networks generally employ adaptive routing, where the pat uncertain characterization of aggregate traffic alluded to in  $\S$ 2. Telephone networks generally employ adaptive routing, where the path of each call is chosen on its arrival depending on the current congestion status of generally employ adaptive routing, where the path of each call is chosen on its arrival<br>depending on the current congestion status of the paths available. Adaptive rout-<br>ing leads to more efficient use of installed capacit depending on the current congestion status of the paths available. Adaptive routing leads to more efficient use of installed capacity and considerably improves the resilience of the network with respect to planning uncerta ing leads to more efficient use of installed capacity and considerably improves the resilience of the network with respect to planning uncertainty and equipment failures. Adaptive routing in the Internet could be applied e resilience of the network with respect to planning uncertainty and equipment failures. Adaptive routing in the Internet could be applied effectively if the network were flow aware. To route flows, rather than packets or ag ures. Adaptive routing in the Internet could be applied effectively if the network<br>were flow aware. To route flows, rather than packets or aggregates of flows, allows<br>the application of techniques already perfected in the ■ were flow aware. To route flows, rather than packets or aggregates of flows, allows<br>the application of techniques already perfected in the telephone network and appears<br>as the more stable and controllable alternative.<br>W the application of techniques already perfected in the telephone network and appears

simulation (Oueslati-Boulahia & Oubagha 1999; Oueslati-Boulahia & Roberts 2000). Considered algorithms make routing decisions based on the value of two path metrics: simulation (Oueslati-Boulahia & Oubagha 1999; Oueslati-Boulahia & Roberts 2000).<br>Considered algorithms make routing decisions based on the value of two path metrics:<br>the number of hops and the available bandwidth. A path Considered algorithms make routing decisions based on the value of two path metrics:<br>the number of hops and the available bandwidth. A path is feasible if its available<br>bandwidth is greater than the admission threshold fi the number of hops and the available bandwidth. A path is feasible if its available bandwidth is greater than the admission threshold fixed for the network  $(1\%$  of the minimal link rate, say). The well-known 'widest-sho Consider than the admission threshold fixed for the network  $(1\%$  of the minimal link rate, say). The well-known 'widest-shortest' algorithm consists of choosing the feasible path with the largest available bandwidth amo the minimal link rate, say). The well-known 'widest-shortest' algorithm consists of choosing the feasible path with the largest available bandwidth among those with<br>the smallest number of hops. We have shown that the performance of this algorithm<br>can be improved by employing a form of 'trunk reservation', the smallest number of hops. We have shown that the performance of this algorithm<br>can be improved by employing a form of 'trunk reservation', whereby the available<br>bandwidth admission threshold increases with the number o can be improved by employing a form of 'trunk reservation', whereby the available bandwidth admission threshold increases with the number of hops (Oueslati-Boulahia & Roberts 2000). This device prevents the choice of long *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

<sup>2206</sup> *J. W.RobertsandS.Oueslati-Boulahia*

2206  $J.$  W. Roberts and S. Oueslati-Boulahia<br>leading to lower blocking probabilities and higher throughput since link bandwidth<br>is then used more efficiently. leading to lower blocking prob<br>is then used more efficiently.

### (*d*) *Flow-unaware pricing*

Flow-aware networking would make it possible to perform flow-*unaware* pricing based simply on counting the number of bytes transmitted across a particular inter-Flow-aware networking would make it possible to perform flow-*unaware* pricing<br>based simply on counting the number of bytes transmitted across a particular inter-<br>face. We pretend that volume pricing is appropriate because based simply on counting the number of bytes transmitted across a particular inter-<br>face. We pretend that volume pricing is appropriate because, by the use of admission<br>control, all packets except the discarded first packe face. We pretend that volume pricing<br>control, all packets except the discar<br>and all flows receive adequate QoS.<br>The purpose of such pricing is to re control, all packets except the discarded first packet of rejected flows are effective<br>and all flows receive adequate QoS.<br>The purpose of such pricing is to recover the cost of investment in network infras-

tructure, with users paying in relation to their utilization of this infrastructure. The The purpose of such pricing is to recover the cost of investment in network infrastructure, with users paying in relation to their utilization of this infrastructure. The price level should ideally be such that revenue cov tructure, with users paying in relation to their utilization of this infrastructure. The price level should ideally be such that revenue covers costs, the network provider then having the incentive to expand capacity as ne price level should ideally be such that revenue covers costs, the network provider<br>then having the incentive to expand capacity as necessary to stay ahead of conges-<br>tion. It is neither necessary nor useful to price stream then having the incentive to expand capacity as necessary to stay ahead of congestion. It is neither necessary nor useful to price stream and elastic flows differently. Users requiring negligible delay will naturally decla those seeking high throughput will choose the elastic class.

Clearly, different pricing packages are possible within this scheme, as for the current those seeking high throughput will choose the elastic class.<br>Clearly, different pricing packages are possible within this scheme, as for the current<br>telephone service, including flat rate charges for users not exceeding so Clearly, different pricing packages are possible within this scheme, as for the current<br>telephone service, including flat rate charges for users not exceeding some utilization<br>threshold. Note that this model is indeed clos telephone service, including flat rate charges for users not exceeding some utilization<br>threshold. Note that this model is indeed closer to that of the telephone network than<br>many proposed Internet pricing schemes where us threshold. Note that the<br>many proposed Interne<br>expected QoS levels.

### 6. Conclusions

 $\frac{6}{100}$ . Conclusions<br>Internet traffic can be characterized most easily at flow level, making the significant<br>distinction between stream and elastic flows. Traffic in the busiest period can rea-Internet traffic can be characterized most easily at flow level, making the significant<br>distinction between stream and elastic flows. Traffic in the busiest period can rea-<br>sonably be modelled as a stationary process whose Internet traffic can be characterized most easily at flow level, making the significant<br>distinction between stream and elastic flows. Traffic in the busiest period can rea-<br>sonably be modelled as a stationary process whose distinction between stream and elastic flows. Traffic in the bus<br>sonably be modelled as a stationary process whose intensity is<br>flow arrival rate and the expected volume of data in any flow.<br>The ability to predict performa The ability be modelled as a stationary process whose intensity is the product of the wearrival rate and the expected volume of data in any flow.<br>The ability to predict performance when a certain volume of traffic is offer

flow arrival rate and the expected volume of data in any flow.<br>The ability to predict performance when a certain volume of traffic is offered to a<br>link of given capacity depends on the type of multiplexing employed. We adv The ability to predict performance when a certain volume of traffic is offered to a<br>link of given capacity depends on the type of multiplexing employed. We advocate<br>the use of open-loop 'bufferless' multiplexing for stream link of given capacity depends on the type of multiplexing employed. We advocate<br>the use of open-loop 'bufferless' multiplexing for stream flows and closed-loop control<br>for elastic flows. Assuming the latter shares bandwid the use of open-loop 'bufferless' multiplexing for stream flows and closed-loop control<br>for elastic flows. Assuming the latter shares bandwidth equally, we have shown using<br>simple models that perceived performance depends for elastic flows. Assuming the latter shares bandwidth equally, we have shown using<br>simple models that perceived performance depends essentially on whether demand is<br>less than or greater than capacity. In the latter case, simple models that perceived performance depends essentially on whether demand is<br>less than or greater than capacity. In the latter case, it is important to apply admis-<br>sion control to prevent a form of congestion collaps I less than or greater than capacity. In the latter case, it is important to apply admission control to prevent a form of congestion collapse manifested by an increasing number of flows in progress, each taking a longer an Integration of stream and consection collapse manifested by an increasing<br>Integration of stream and elastic flows on the same links, with queueing priority<br>The stream and elastic flows on the same links, with queueing prio

number of flows in progress, each taking a longer and longer time to complete.<br>Integration of stream and elastic flows on the same links, with queueing priority<br>given to packets from stream flows, simplifies traffic contro Integration of stream and elastic flows on the same links, with queueing priority<br>given to packets from stream flows, simplifies traffic control. When a significant<br>proportion of traffic is elastic, admission control appli given to packets from stream flows, simplifies traffic control. When a significant proportion of traffic is elastic, admission control applied to both stream and elastic flows can be performed by comparing the bandwidth cu proportion of traffic is elastic, admission control applied to both stream and elastic<br>flows can be performed by comparing the bandwidth currently available for a new<br>elastic flow with a certain threshold. By applying diff flows can be performed by comparing the bandwidth currently available for a new elastic flow with a certain threshold. By applying different admission thresholds, it is straightforward to offer a form of service differenti elastic flow with a certain threshold. By applying c<br>is straightforward to offer a form of service different<br>to certain categories of flow in case of congestion.<br>To realize flow-aware networking in a high-speed straightforward to offer a form of service differentiation allowing privileged access<br>certain categories of flow in case of congestion.<br>To realize flow-aware networking in a high-speed network is clearly not easy. We<br>visag

to certain categories of flow in case of congestion.<br>To realize flow-aware networking in a high-speed network is clearly not easy. We<br>envisage the identification of flows 'on the fly' at the edge of a label-switched domain To realize flow-aware networking in a high-speed network is clearly not easy. We<br>envisage the identification of flows 'on the fly' at the edge of a label-switched domain.<br>The availability of bandwidth on the paths accessib envisage the identification of flows 'on the fly' at the edge of a label-switched domain.<br>The availability of bandwidth on the paths accessible from a given edge router would<br>be monitored continually, allowing a form of me be monitored continually, allowing a form of measurement-based routing and admis-<br>*Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

**IATHEMATICAL,<br>HYSICAL<br>< ENGINEERING<br>CIENCES** 

OYAL

HL  $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ 

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS

*Quality ofServiceby°ow-awarenetworking* <sup>2207</sup>

Quality of Service by flow-aware networking 2207<br>sion control. The choice of path for a new flow should take account both of the path<br>length in hops and its current available bandwidth. sion control. The choice of path for a new flow should<br>length in hops and its current available bandwidth.<br>Since all flows that are admitted have guaranteed length in hops and its current available bandwidth.<br>Since all flows that are admitted have guaranteed QoS, it is natural to apply a

**IATHEMATICAL,<br>HYSICAL<br>CIENGINEERING<br>CIENCES** Since all flows that are admitted have guaranteed QoS, it is natural to apply a volume-based pricing scheme with the same per byte charge for both elastic and stream flows. The price levels would be fixed, as in the teleph volume-based pricing scheme with the same per byte charge for both elastic and volume-based pricing scheme with the same per byte charge for both elastic and<br>stream flows. The price levels would be fixed, as in the telephone model, to cover the<br>cost of the network infrastructure, the latter being pro

cost of the network infrastructure, the latter being provided in sufficient quantity to avoid congestion in all but exceptional circumstances.

### References

- **Afek, Y., Mansour, Y. & Ostfeld, Z. 1996 Phantom: a simple and effective flow control scheme.**<br>Comn Commun, Rev. (SIGCOMM) 26, 169–182 *Comp. Commun. Y. & Ostfeld, Z. 1996 Phantom: a Comp. Commun. Rev. (SIGCOMM)* 26, 169–182. Afek, Y., Mansour, Y. & Ostfeld, Z. 1996 Phantom: a simple and effective flow control scheme.<br> *Comp. Commun. Rev. (SIGCOMM)* **26**, 169–182.<br>
Blake, S. *et al.* 1998 An architecture for differentiated services. Request for
- 
- Comp. Commun. Rev. (SIGCOMM) **26**, 169–182.<br>Blake, S. *et al.* 1998 An architecture for differentiated services. Request for Comments 2475.<br>Callon, R. *et al.* 1999 A framework for multiprotocol label switching. Internet d Callon, R. *et al.* 1999 A framework for multiprotocol label switching. Internet draft. (Work in progress available at http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-ietf-mpls-framework-os.txt.) Crovella, M. & Bestavros, A. 19 progressavailable at http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-ietf-mpls-framework-os.txt.)
- possible causes. In *Proc. ACM Sigmetrics 1996, May 1996*, pp. 160-169. Crovella, M. & Bestavros, A. 1996 Self-similarity in World Wide Web traffic: evidence and<br>possible causes. In *Proc. ACM Sigmetrics 1996, May 1996*, pp. 160–169.<br>Cruz, R. L. 1991 A calculus of network delay. Part I. Networ
- *In Proc. ACM S*<br> *In Proc. ACM S*<br> *Informat. Theory* 37, 114–131.<br>
Idmann A Huang P Gilbort *Informat.Theory* 37, 114–131.<br>Feldmann, A., Huang, P., Gilbert, A. C. & Willinger, W. 1999 Dynamics of IP traffic: a study
- Informat. Theory 37, 114–131.<br>Idmann, A., Huang, P., Gilbert, A. C. & Willinger, W. 1999 Dynamics of IP traffic: a study<br>of the role of variability and the impact of control. *Comp. Commun. Rev. (SIGCOMM)* 29,<br>301–313. of the role of variability and the impact of contro<br>301–313.<br>Kleinrock, L. 1976 *Queuing systems*, vol. 2. Wiley.<br>Kumar, A. et al. 2000 NETMASTER: experiences in 801–313.<br>Kleinrock, L. 1976 *Queuing systems*, vol. 2. Wiley.<br>Kumar, A. *et al.* 2000 NETMASTER: experiences in using non-intrusive TCP connection admis-
- 
- einrock, L. 1976 *Queuing systems*, vol. 2. Wiley.<br>
umar, A. *et al.* 2000 NETMASTER: experiences in using non-intrusive TCP connection admis-<br>
sion control for bandwidth management of an Internet access link. *IEEE Commun*  $\begin{align*} \text{t, a, } & \text{t, a}, \\ \text{t,$ the press.)<br>Massoulié, L. & Roberts, J. W. 1999 Arguments in favour of admission control for TCP flows.
- In *Proc. Int. Teletraffic Congress, ITC 16, Edinburgh, UK, June 1999*, pp. 33–44. Elsevier. Massoulie, L. & Roberts, J. W. 1999 Arguments in favour of admission control for TCP flows.<br>In *Proc. Int. Teletraffic Congress, ITC 16, Edinburgh, UK, June 1999,* pp. 33–44. Elsevier.<br>Nabe, M., Murata, M. & Miyahara, H. 1
- In Proc. Int. Teletraffic Congress, ITC 16, Edinburgh, UK, June 1999, pp. 33–44. Elsevier<br>be, M., Murata, M. & Miyahara, H. 1998 Analysis and modeling of World Wide Web traf<br>for capacity dimensioning of Internet access lin Nabe,M., Murata, M. & Miyahara, H. 1998 Analysis and modeling of World Wide Web traffic<br>for capacity dimensioning of Internet access lines. *Performance Evaluation* 34, 249–271.<br>Oueslati-Boulahia, S. & Oubagha, E. 1999 An
- *Teletraffic Congress, ITC 16, Edinburgh, UK, June 1999*, pp. 1311–1320. Elsevier.<br> *Teletraffic Congress, ITC 16, Edinburgh, UK, June 1999*, pp. 1311–1320. Elsevier.<br> **Teletraffic Congress, ITC 16, Edinburgh, UK, June 19** Oueslati-Boulahia, S. & Oubagha, E. 1999 An approach to routing elastic flows. In Proc. Int.<br>
Teletraffic Congress, ITC 16, Edinburgh, UK, June 1999, pp. 1311–1320. Elsevier.<br>
Oueslati-Boulahia, S. & Roberts, J. W. 2000 Im
- Teletraffic Congress, ITC 16, Edinburgh, UK, June 1999, pp. 1311–1320. Elsevier.<br>neslati-Boulahia, S. & Roberts, J. W. 2000 Impact of trunk reservation on elastic flow routing.<br>In *Networking 2000* (ed. G. Pujolle). Spring 834. In *Networking 2000* (ed. G. Pujolle). Springer Notes in Computer Science, vol. 1815, pp. 823–<br>834.<br>Roberts, J. W. 1999 Engineering for Quality of Service. In *Self-similarity in network traffic* (ed.
- K. Park & W. Willinger). Wiley. Roberts, J. W. 1999 Engineering for Quality of Service. In *Self-similarity in network traffic* (ed.<br>K. Park & W. Willinger). Wiley.<br>Roberts, J. W., Mocci, U. & Virtamo, J. (eds) 1996 *Broadband network teletraffic (Final*
- *K. Park & W. Willinger). Wiley.*<br> *of action COST 242)*. Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 1155.<br> **of action COST 242).** Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 1155.<br> **Springer Lecture Notes in Co** Roberts, J. W., Mocci, U. & Virtamo, J. (eds) 1996 *Broadband network teletraffic (Final report* of action COST 242). Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 1155.<br>Thomson, K., Miller, G. J. & Wilder, R. 1997 Wid
- of action COST 242). Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Sommon, K., Miller, G. J. & Wilder, R. 1997 Wide-area Internations. *IEEE Network* (November/December), pp. 10–23.<br>https://www.gata.org/internations. Particle of the Thomson, K., Miller, G. J. & Wilder, R. 1997 Wide-area Internet traffic patterns and characteristics. *IEEE Network* (November/December), pp. 10–23.<br>W[hite, P. & Crowcroft,](http://gessler.ingentaselect.com/nw=1/rpsv/cgi-bin/linker?ext=a&reqidx=/0018-9219^28^2985L.1934[aid=541213,doi=10.1109/5.650176]) J. 1997 Integrated services in the Internet: state

*IEEE Network*<br>hite, P. & Crowcroft, J<br>*IEEE* 85, 1934–1946.

**UAXO** 

**PHILOSOPHICAL**<br>TRANSACTIONS

*Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)